

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/964,449	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735	

All Participants:

(1) Ahmed M. Farah.

(2) Ronald P. Kananen (Reg. No. 24,104).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 December 2005

Time: 9:45 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claim 8.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 8 was discussed in view of pages 16-21 of the applicant's specification. In particular, the "calculation unit" recited in claim 8 and its functional limitations were discussed in detail. To expedite the prosecution of the application, the applicant's representative agreed to cancel claim 8, and dependent claims thereof, without prejudice in favor of filing a Continuation Application..